

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/815,313	03/22/2001	Thomas Alexander Aber	END9 0175 US1	4658
75	90 01/14/2004		EXAMINER	
Shelley M. Beckstrand, P.C.			KRAMER, JAMES A	
314 Main Street Owego, NY 1:			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<b>.</b>			3627	100 00
			DATE MAILED: 01/14/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

and the second s			, v
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
.*	09/815,313	ABER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James A. Kramer	3627	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI	LY IS SÉT TO EXPIRE 3 M	ONTH(S) FROM	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replection if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuent Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON the, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  by (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communications (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
,	inis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		tters, prosecution as to the me	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			
4) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	•		•
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= 1 1	• •	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		isapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	• •		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xammer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		2.440(-) (1) (6)	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (t).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documen		and the second	
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prication from the International B</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis</li> </ul>	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	<del>)</del>
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional appli	cation).
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes</li> </ul>	• •		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01)

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Maners.

Maners teaches a remote electronic entry and validation system and method. In particular, a vender can submit an invoice to a company by entering invoice information in to a computer system located at their location (i.e. remote from the company) (column 8; lines 15-20). Examiner notes that the vendor submitting the invoice information is interpreted as preparing an invoice image and in particular preparing invoice images by converting electronic invoices received from a vendor.

Maners teaches a MicroEDI server connected via the Internet with the vendors computer system. As the vendor enters the invoice information in to their computer it is saved in the MicroEDI server of the main company (storing invoice image in an image store) (column 3; lines 60-67).

Maners goes on to teach the posting of the Invoice data. After the information is collected from the vendor, an authorization may be required from an authorizing agent of the company (column 8; lines 50-55). To accomplish this, the authorizing agent logs on to the

Art Unit: 3627

MicroEDI application (logging on to front- end requisition and catalog server) and is able to view this image/invoice information (column 9; lines 23-53). Examiner notes that this section teaches communicating invoice confirmation request to a requestor, responsive to requestor selection displaying the invoice image and advising the requestor to process confirmation by positive feedback.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maners in view of Official Notice.

Maners (as described in detail above) does not teach displaying invoices converted from electronic invoices received from a vendor into an image file having the look and feel of a paper invoice. Examiner takes Official Notice that it is old and well known for software developers to develop electronic forms that look and feel just like their paper counterparts in order provide the end user with a system they are already familiar with.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the MicroEDI application of Maners so that the invoice image

presented to the authorizing user looked and felt just like a paper version in order to provide the authorizing users with a system they are already familiar with.

Claims 2, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maners in view of Cukor et al. (hereinafter Cukor).

Maners (as described in detail above) does not teach preparing invoice images by scanning paper invoices from a vendor. Cukor teaches a system and method of processing document images. In particular, when a paper document is received it is scanned by a remote station and saved to an image file server. A microcomputer serves as a controller for the scanner and provides a data file by which transaction-related information is associated with the captured images (column 6; lines 33-36).

Cukor further teaches, in a situation where a company already has a fully operational and satisfactory computer-based system for invoicing, which includes data files containing transaction related information, associating a separate image file server to these files (column 8; lines 5-30).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the MicroEDI application of Maners by including the invoice scanning and file server of Cukor and associating it with the electronic invoice information of Manners in order to allow a vendor with out Internet or computer access to submit invoice to the company and have the company process them electronically.

Art Unit: 3627

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James A. Kramer whose telephone number is (703) 305-5241. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (8AM - 5PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on (703) 305-4716. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

James A. Kramer

Examiner

Art Unit 3627

**JAK** 

Richard Chilcot Represery Patent Examiner

Technology Center 250 5 ( C